Ham-Policy Digest   Thu, 7 Oct 93   Volume 93 : Issue 366

Today's Topics:
                        Cordless phone Band????
                     Enough of the debate. (2 msgs)
          Getting my license. Do I want to be like this? (3 msgs)
                          Need FT-311rm manual
                       Packet to internet gatewa

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Tue, 05 Oct 1993 23:31:00
From: europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!mv!leotech!
uucp@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Cordless phone Band????
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Does anyone know the frequency range that the new 900 MHZ
cordless phones use???

Please write me direct on Internet at:

mitchell@leotech.mv.com

Thanks!!!!

Scott
=====

In article <yBZuac1w165w@beagle.UUCP>, chandler@beagle.UUCP (Jim Chandler) writes:
|> Let's stop the senseless bickering about the value of CW as it pertains
|> to amateur radio. The facts are these:
|>
|> 1. The no-code license is here to stay, whether you like it or not. I
|> personally think it is ok. It got me interested in amateur radio.
|>
|> 2. If you want access to the HF bands, you must learn the code. Until this
|> international law is changed, the requirement will remain.

Not international law, it's a treaty. And apparently it is written such
that countries can choose not to enforce it - such as Japan. I've heard
that the code requirement would have been eliminated at the last meeting
if the US delegates had not insisted on keeping it.

|>
|> 3. That makes CW a right of passage to the HF bands. Whether it is fair or
|> not is irrelavant.

What does fair have to do with it? I would question it's applicability
given that it is losing popularity. Rite of passage? Is this some type
of fraternal brotherhood with secret handshakes? No - it's a hobby or
a service, depending on your interest/opinion/definition.

|>
|> If you don't like the current license structure, then petition the FCC to
|> change it. CW has merit, as does RTTY, AMTOR, Packet, SSB, etc. Whether
|> it will remain as a requirement for access to the HF bands remains to be
|> seen. I personally would like to see some CW requirement remain, not
|> necessarily the current ones. I would also like to see the tests updated
|>and emphasize operating practice, FCC rules and technical aspects of the hobby

I would like to petition the FCC to eliminate the 13 WPM and 20 WPM tests and

retain the 5 WPM test for General. I would also like to see a bandplan
written into part 97 so that CW has reserved space (now if we can just get
the FCC to enforce it). Yes CW has merit, but not to the extent that a
MASTERY of it should be required. I agree that the tests could be made
more applicable.

|>
|> Flames to /dev/null. For those not familiar with UNIX, send email to
|> no-one@no-where
|>

And let you get the last word?

|> ------------
|> Jim Chandler, N0VAH
|> infopro!beagle!chandler
|> citrus!beagle!chandler

--
+++
+ Tom Bodoh - Sr. systems software engineer, Hughes STX, N0YGT   +
+ USGS/EROS Data Center, Sioux Falls, SD, USA 57198   (605) 594-6830   +
+ Internet; bodoh@dgg.cr.usgs.gov (152.61.192.66)
          +
+   "Welcome back my friends to the show that never ends!" EL&P
          +
+++

Date: 3 Oct 93 19:15:09 GMT
From: gossip.pyramid.com!pyramid!unify!csusac!citrus!beagle!
chandler@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Enough of the debate.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Let's stop the senseless bickering about the value of CW as it pertains
to amateur radio. The facts are these:

1. The no-code license is here to stay, whether you like it or not. I
personally think it is ok. It got me interested in amateur radio.

2. If you want access to the HF bands, you must learn the code. Until this
international law is changed, the requirement will remain.

3. That makes CW a right of passage to the HF bands. Whether it is fair or
not is irrelavant.

If you don't like the current license structure, then petition the FCC to
change it. CW has merit, as does RTTY, AMTOR, Packet, SSB, etc. Whether
it will remain as a requirement for access to the HF bands remains to be
seen. I personally would like to see some CW requirement remain, not
necessarily the current ones. I would also like to see the tests updated
and emphasize operating practice, FCC rules and technical aspects of the hobby.

Flames to /dev/null. For those not familiar with UNIX, send email to
no-one@no-where

Jim Chandler, N0VAH
infopro!beagle!chandler
citrus!beagle!chandler

Date: 6 Oct 93 16:19:45 GMT
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!news.acns.nwu.edu!casbah.acns.nwu.edu!
rdewan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Getting my license. Do I want to be like this?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <93279.103028SUSEEA@MAINE.MAINE.EDU>,
 <SUSEEA@MAINE.MAINE.EDU> wrote:
>My son [11 years old] and myself are currently studying to get our
>license. We live in a rural [remote] area that does not test often.
>As the date for the exam approaches we are finding that we still
>don't know the code well enough to make the 5 wpm test. My son
>finds it very difficult to devote enough time to code practice
>with his school workload. So, we are considering getting a
>no code license until the next exam date comes around. This
>should give us time to get the hang of code.....we really do
>want HF capabilities. However, we have seen the discrimination
>applied to no coders here on the net and locally. Some hams here
>will not even speak to a no code license. Some have gone to the
>extent of willfull interference when they come across no code
>operators on the repeater. It only takes a few individuals to
>stain the image of the entire group, but even with this in mind
>both my son and I now have second thoughts about becoming an
>operator of any kind code or no code. This just doesn't fit
>the image I would like to project.
>I am not attempting to alter the content of this newsgroup, but
>just wanted to voice our observations.

Oh! I am sorry that you feel turned off. Ham radio as a hobby cuts

across all social/class/interest lines and, as much, you will always
find people who will turn you off. The key is to enjoy the hobby
on your own terms. It really is a big hobby and you will find people
with similar interest as you.

For starters, look for a repeater or a group of people on a repeater
who will enjoy chatting with you. Do not expect to be the best buddies
with every one the first time you meet them - although many hams go
out of their way to be very friendly.

Moving on, check out 2m packet. With linked up nodes you can meet lots
of interesting people and do some DX-ing too. We regularly have
VK (Australian) hams check into a local conference node. Satellites.
Amateur TV. A lot of new things in Amateur Radio are taking place above
30MHz. The tech license offers the biggest bang for the work. Don't be
ashamed of it. Instead, take advantage of it. All you need to find is a
few people to share your joy of trying new modes/methods.

I wish you were in the Chicago area.   The hams at the North Shore Radio
Club would more than welcome you. We try and make a special effort for
every one. But for kids - we go all out. You know what? We have had more
people become hams and upgrade (if they want that is) that most other
clubs in the area. The secret: we do not care about your license class
as long as you operate legally.

If I can help in any way, please send me e-mail at the address below.

Rajiv
aa9ch
r-dewan@nwu.edu

Date: 6 Oct 93 17:30:31 GMT
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!news.acns.nwu.edu!casbah.acns.nwu.edu!
lapin@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Getting my license. Do I want to be like this?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <93279.103028SUSEEA@MAINE.MAINE.EDU>,
 <SUSEEA@MAINE.MAINE.EDU> wrote:
>My son [11 years old] and myself are currently studying to get our
>license. We live in a rural [remote] area that does not test often.
>As the date for the exam approaches we are finding that we still
>don't know the code well enough to make the 5 wpm test. My son
>finds it very difficult to devote enough time to code practice
>with his school workload.

That's an interesting twist - my 10 year old daughter took to Morse Code
like a fish to water. I was amazing to watch. I've heard the same about
other kids. The written was another story. She did not have the basic
science or math background (or even, in come questions, the vocabulary) to
learn the subjects included in the Novice written (Element 2), much less
the Tech written (Element 3a).

>no code license until the next exam date comes around. This
>should give us time to get the hang of code.....we really do
>want HF capabilities. However, we have seen the discrimination
>applied to no coders here on the net and locally. Some hams here
>will not even speak to a no code license. Some have gone to the
>extent of willfull interference when they come across no code
>operators on the repeater. It only takes a few individuals to
>stain the image of the entire group, but even with this in mind
>both my son and I now have second thoughts about becoming an
>operator of any kind code or no code. This just doesn't fit
>the image I would like to project.

I've never been able to distinguish a no-code Tech license from one with
code - in fact, the FCC can't either. The only way to tell if someone has
a no code license is if they say so. I suspect many people on our local
repeaters as having no-code licenses, but that is who VHF and repeaters are
for. I talk to them, and have actually had some more intelligent
conversations with them then some of the ones with other licenses.

You will never find any group that is perfect. Sure there are rotten
apples in the ham radio barrel, and there are skiers that will knock you
over if you aren't as good as they are, and boaters that will give a less
experienced seaman a hard time, etc, etc. On the whole, the hams that I
have met are a good group.

Hope to see you and your son on the air.

73 de Greg KD9AZ
glapin@nwu.edu

Date: Wed, 6 Oct 1993 17:02:00 GMT
From: news.cerf.net!pagesat!ukma!ovation!ramcad.pica.army.mil!
mellis@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Getting my license. Do I want to be like this?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <93279.103028SUSEEA@MAINE.MAINE.EDU>, <SUSEEA@MAINE.MAINE.EDU> writes:
>
>My son [11 years old] and myself are currently studying to get our

>license. We live in a rural [remote] area that does not test often.
>As the date for the exam approaches we are finding that we still
>don't know the code well enough to make the 5 wpm test. My son
>finds it very difficult to devote enough time to code practice
>with his school workload. So, we are considering getting a
>no code license until the next exam date comes around. This
>should give us time to get the hang of code.....we really do
>want HF capabilities. However, we have seen the discrimination
>applied to no coders here on the net and locally. Some hams here
>will not even speak to a no code license. Some have gone to the
>extent of willfull interference when they come across no code
>operators on the repeater. It only takes a few individuals to
>stain the image of the entire group, but even with this in mind
>both my son and I now have second thoughts about becoming an
>operator of any kind code or no code. This just doesn't fit
>the image I would like to project.
>I am not attempting to alter the content of this newsgroup, but
>just wanted to voice our observations.
>

Wow, is this depressing. What a wonderful picture this person & son
have of ham radio.

Here's my perspective: I put out a question about buying a
2m HT prior to taking the no-code test, and got many replies. Not one
of the respondents said to me: "Screw you, if you don't take the code
test, I'm not talking to you!". No, they said things like "Welcome
to the hobby", etc. And thanks to those respondents, if they're
reading this.

Of course, I'm license-in-waiting (3 weeks down, ? to go). Once I get
the ticket, start talking, and encounter such a brain-dead, elitist ham
such as described above, I may be less enthusiastic about the hobby
than I am now. Hope not.

As far as willful interference, you might want to ask the person
who holds the license of the repeater if they are aware of
this. They may not be too thrilled with it either.

                      .... Mark E. Ellis <mellis@ramcad.pica.army.mil>
                           PA&TD Workplace Automation Group
                           SMCAR-QAH-P, Bldg 62N, Ext. 5817
                           Picatinny Arsenal, NJ
                  K2???

Date: Tue, 05 Oct 1993 23:23:00

From: europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!mv!leotech!
uucp@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Need FT-311rm manual
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Does anyone have a manual for a Yaesu FT-311rm 220 rig?

I would very much like to get a copy

Please write me direct at:

mitchell@leotech.mv.com

Thankyou!!!!!

Scott WA1MYQ

... Boldly going forward
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.11

 * Origin: NETIS Public Access Internet (603)432-2517 (1:132/189)

Date: Tue, 05 Oct 1993 23:28:00
From: europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!mv!leotech!
uucp@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Packet to internet gatewa
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Does anyone know of an Internet to packet gateway I could use?

If you do would you please send me any information you may have
on how to use it and it's address.

Write me on Internet direct at

mitchell@leotech.mv.com

Thankyou!!!

Scott WA1MYQ
============

... Purring: Sound of a cat manufacturing cuteness.
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.11

* Origin: NETIS Public Access Internet (603)432-2517 (1:132/189)

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #366
****